

ILLNESS NARRATIVES

Suffering, Healing, and the Human Condition

ARTHUR KLEINMAN, M.D.

Basic Books, Inc., Publishers

NEW YORK

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kleinman, Arthur.

The illness narratives.

Bibliography: p. 269. Includes index.

1. Chronic diseases—Psychological aspects. I. Title. [DNLM: 1. Chronic Disease—psychology. 2. Chronic Disease—therapy. WT 500 K64i]
RC108.K57 1988 616'.001'9 87_47772
ISBN 0-465-03202-8

Copyright © 1988 by Basic Books, Inc.
Printed in the United States of America
Designed by Vincent Torre
88 89 90 91 RRD 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

To those who suffer chronic illness; to those who share the experience of disability as members of the family and social circle; and to the professionals who care for them.

The solid meaning of life is always the same eternal thing—the marriage, namely of some unhabitual ideal, however special, with some fidelity, courage and endurance, with some man's or woman's pains.

--WILLIAM JAMES
Talks to Teachers

Mortality, I take it, is the central fact of practical existence; death is the central fact of life.

—MICHAEL OAKESHOTT Experience and Its Modes

If you miss being understood by laymen, and fail to put your hearers in this condition, you will miss reality.

—-Hippocrates
Ancient Medicine

Information contained in this book accurately conveys the spirit of my work as a physician and researcher, but all names, characteristics, and identifying details in the case histories have been changed.

The Meaning of Symptoms and Disorders

Whatever is real has a meaning.

—Міснаєі Оакезнотт ([1933] 1978, 58)

For many Americans the meaning of disease is the mechanism that defines it; even in cancer the meaning is often that we do not yet know the mechanism. To some, however, the meaning of cancer may transcend the mechanism and the ultimate ability of medicine to understand it. For such individuals the meaning of cancer may lie in the evils of capitalism, of unhindered technical progress, or perhaps in failures of individual will. We live in a complex and fragmented world and create a variety of frameworks for our several allments. But two key elements remain fundamental: one is faith in medicine's existing or potential insights, another, personal accountability.

——CHARLES E. ROSENBERG (1986, 34)

Illness and Disease

When I use the word *illness* in this book, I shall mean something fundamentally different from what I mean when I write *disease*. By invoking the term illness, I mean to conjure up the innately human experience of symptoms and suffering. Illness refers to how the sick person and the members of the family or wider social network perceive, live with, and respond to symptoms and disability.* Illness

^{*}In this volume I use the terms sick person and patient interchangeably. But in fact the former conveys a more accurate sense of my point of view than the latter. Individuals who are

CHAITEVENT

is the lived experience of monitoring bodily processes such as respiratory wheezes, abdominal cramps, stuffed sinuses, or painful joints. Illness involves the appraisal of those processes as expectable, serious, or requiring treatment. The illness experience includes categorizing and explaining, in common-sense ways accessible to all lay persons in the social group, the forms of distress caused by those pathophysiological processes. And when we speak of illness, we must include the patient's judgments about how best to cope with the distress and with the practical problems in daily living it creates. Illness behavior consists of initiating treatment (for example, changing diet and activities, eating special foods, resting, engaging in exercise, taking over-the-counter medication or on-hand prescription drugs) and deciding when to seek care from professionals or alternative practitioners.

Illness problems are the principal difficulties that symptoms and disability create in our lives. For example, we may be unable to walk up our stairs to our bedroom. Or we may experience distracting low back pain while we sit at work. Headaches may make it impossible to focus on homework assignments or housework, leading to failure and frustration. Or there may be impotence that leads to divorce. We may feel great anger because no one can see our pain and therefore objectively determine that our disability is real. As a result, we sense that our complaints are not believed, and we experience frustrating pressure to prove we are in constant pain. We may be depressed by our fear of death or of becoming an invalid. We grieve over lost health, altered body image, and dangerously declining self-esteem. Or we feel shame because of disfigurement. All these are illness problems.

Local cultural orientations (the patterned ways that we have learned to think about and act in our life worlds and that replicate the social structure of those worlds) organize our conventional common sense about how to understand and treat illness; thus we can say of illness experience that it is always culturally shaped. Paradoxical as it sounds, then, there are normal ways of being ill (ways that our society regards as appropriate) as well as anomalous ways. But conventional expectations about illness are altered through negotiations in different social situations and in particular webs of relationships. Expectations about how to behave when ill also differ owing to our unique individual biographies. So we can also say of illness experience that it is always distinctive.

a disease nosology, that creates a new diagnostic entity, an "it"—the disease the health problem within a particular nomenclature and taxonomy, tor, a chiropractor or the latest breed of psychotherapist—interprets tidepressants. The healer—whether a neurosurgeon or a family doccreased insulin, pain of uncertain origin that calls for diagnostic testing, or major depressive disorder that needs treatment with ansician diagnoses and treats elevated blood sugar that requires into social withdrawal and even divorce. Yet, in other cases, the physchool; or the fear of dying brought on by a heart attack may lead severe gastrointestinal discomfort may intensify the stresses of may lead to unemployment; self-absorption in a strict diet and problems. The patient may suffer pain that interferes with work and and family's illness problems as narrow technical issues, disease practice. That is to say, the practitioner reconfigures the patient's to see through the theoretical lenses of their particular form of theories of disorder. Disease is what practitioners have been trained a particular collective experience of illness. Disease, however, is what the practitioner creates in the recasting of illness in terms of initial encounter. For the practitioner, too, has been socialized into ground for patient and practitioner to understand each other in their practitioner. Indeed, locally shared illness idioms create a common Illness complaints are what patients and their families bring to the

Disease is the problem from the practitioner's perspective. In the narrow biological terms of the biomedical model, this means that disease is reconfigured *only* as an alteration in biological structure or

chronically ill spend much more time in the roles of sick family member, sick worker, sick self than in the role of patient, which is so redolent with the sights and smells of the clinic and which leaves an afterimage of a compliant, passive object of medical care. I wish to place stress on the sick person as the subject, the active agent of care, since in fact most treatment in chronic illness is self-treatment and most decisions are made by the sick person and family, not by health care professionals. Sick person also sounds more appropriate for the model of care I will advance. Care for chronic illness is (or should be) more like a negotiation among practitioner bear reciprocal responsibilities, a point I will develop in chapter 15, where I describe a model of care. In spite of these good reasons, it sounds excessively artificial to avoid the term patient; hence I use the two terms interchangeably with the same meaning: more person, less patient.

solely through the rhetoric of improvement in disease processes of chronic illness is lost; it is not legitimated as a subject for clinical of recasting illness as disease, something essential to the experience source of conflict. rhetoric of illness problems. Hence, at the heart of clinical care for may confound the patient's (and family's) assessments of care in the concern, nor does it receive an intervention. Treatment assessed death of a parent from the same disorder). In the practitioner's act and environmental situations (a midlife crisis, a failing marriage, the tery insufficiency), psychological states (panic or demoralization) tic between cardiovascular processes (hypertension or coronary arcoronary artery; in the biopsychosocial model it is a dynamic dialecto live with illness—there is a potential (and, in many cases, actual) the chronically ill-those who cannot be cured but must continue (see Engel 1977). In the biomedical model the disease is an occluded bodiment of the symbolic network linking body, self, and society making headway in primary care, disease is construed as the emdressed, it is a failure. In the broader biopsychosocial model now ual impotence, and the financial crisis go undiagnosed and unadthe patient's fear, the family's frustration, the job conflict, the sexfor which calcium blockers and nitroglycerine are prescribed, while cess. When chest pain is reduced to chronic coronary artery disease lobar pneumonia, this biological reductionism is an enormous sucfunctioning. When chest pain can be reduced to a treatable acute

To complete the picture, I shall introduce a third term, sickness, and define it as the understanding of a disorder in its generic sense across a population in relation to macrosocial (economic, political, institutional) forces. Thus, when we talk of the relationship of tuberculosis to poverty and malnutrition that places certain populations at higher risk for the disorder, we are invoking tuberculosis as sickness; similarly, when we discuss the contribution of the tobacco industry and their political supporters to the epidemiological burden of lung cancer in North America, we are describing the sickness cancer. Not just researchers but patients, families, and healers, too, may extrapolate from illness to sickness, adding another wrinkle to the experience of disorder, seeing it as a reflection of political oppression, economic deprivation, and other social sources of human misery.

as well as from the futile attempt to maintain two separate worlds urement and the menace of untimely death. one free of sickness (work), the other where sickness is legitimized inability to deal with the feeling of vulnerability and loss of control necessity for continuous treatment; for the second, they stem from organized around the constant threat to vital functions and the (home); whereas for the third, they center on the meaning of disfigthe illness problems arise from the total, inescapable life situation signs of metastasis are omens of her own demise. For the first case, along with breast cancer and by the numbing realization that the rental, and conjugal activities; or the young woman demoralized by children, who greatly, though secretly, limits his recreational, pathe disfiguring radical surgery that removed her sense of self-esteem business executive whose asthma is known only to his wife and whose very life requires assisted respiration and round-the-clock and require institutionalization. And others ultimately terminate help with all routine bodily functions and daily activities; or the the patient's life. Imagine, as examples, the adolescent quadriplegic nesses also vary greatly. Some lead to such devastating loss of less disabling, may yet eventually exhaust the family's resources functioning that the patient is almost totally disabled. Some, while this book never entirely disappear. Moreover, these chronic illlonger to run their course. And the ones we are concerned with in disruptive of our life activities. Some are more distressing; they take Illnesses obviously vary in outcome. Some are brief, minimally

Chronic illnesses tend to oscillate between periods of exacerbation, when symptoms worsen, to periods of quiescence, when disability is less disruptive. By now a very substantial body of findings indicates that psychological and social factors are often the determinants of the swing toward amplification. The former include disabling anxiety, giving up. The latter are deeply threatening life event changes, impaired social support, and oppressive relationships that contribute to a vicious cycle undermining psychophysiological homeostasis (Katon et al. 1982; Kleinman 1986). Alternatively, the swing toward damping (a kind of internal health-promoting system that has received less research attention) frequently seems to be associated with strengthened social supports, enhanced sense of self-efficacy, and rekindled aspiration.

Periods of alleviation also reveal attendant diminution in anxiety and depression. There are rising feelings of mastery, often due to acceptance of a paradigm of care that substitutes a pragmatic notion of illness maintenance and disability reduction for the myth of cure.

Of course, swings from amplification to damping, and vice versa, need not reflect psychosocial influence: often biological change is responsible. As a result, there is uncertainty over the reason for exacerbation or remission, which, regretably, encourages a corresponding tendency to dismiss even the obvious social-psychological push of the swing. The upshot is conjoint (practitioner/patient, family) denial that chronic disorder is so influenced—a fateful complicity that in my experience correlates with pessimism and passivity. Not surprisingly, the effect is to worsen outcome.

The Meanings of Illness

very ambiguity often supplies illness meanings with relevance radiate (or conceal) more than one meaning. Some meanings remain eral distinctive senses. Each type of meaning is worth examining Illness has meaning, as the cases I have mentioned suggest, in sevproblem at hand. Chronic illness is more than the sum of the many inasmuch as they can be applied now this way, now that way to the occur in situations and relations. As in so many areas of life, their long course of a chronic disorder. Yet others change as changes more potential than actual. Others become effective only over the is polysemic or multivocal; illness experiences and events usually From an anthropological perspective and also a clinical one, illness particular events that occur in an illness career; it is a reciprocal so intimately to the development of a particular life that illness trajectory of chronic illness assimilates to a life course, contributing relationship between particular instance and chronic course. The formations, then, lead to the appreciation of the meanings of illness becomes inseparable from life history. Continuities as well as trans-

The appreciation of meanings is bound within a relationship: it belongs to the sick person's spouse, child, friend, or care giver, or

to the patient himself. For this reason it is usually as much hedged in with ambiguities as are those relationships themselves. But in the long, oscillating course of chronic disorder, the sick, their relatives, and those who treat them become aware that the meanings communicated by illness can amplify or dampen symptoms, exaggerate or lessen disability, impede or facilitate treatment. For reasons I will review later, however, these understandings often remain unexamined, silent emblems of a covert reality that is usually dealt with either indirectly or not at all. Powerful emotions attach to these meanings, as do powerful interests.

of medical training and of health care delivery, with its radically structure of our social world. Indeed, the everyday priority structure materialist pursuit of the biological mechanism of disease, precludes the meanings of illness any more than we regularly analyze the valued, psychosocial concern with meanings with the scientifically ings of illness for them, which interferes with recognition of distion of patients and families, away from decoding the salient meansuch inquiry. It turns the gaze of the clinician, along with the attenchronically ill (see chapter 16). Biomedicine must be indicted of this of modern medicine: it disables the healer and disempowers the symptoms. This pernicious value transformation is a serious failing "hard," therefore overvalued, technical quest for the control of biomedical system replaces this allegedly "soft," therefore deturbing but potentially treatable problems in their life world. The powerful therapeutic alternative is at hand. failure in order to provoke serious interest in reform, because a Social reality is so organized that we do not routinely inquire into

There is evidence to indicate that through examining the particular significances of a person's illness it is possible to break the vicious cycles that amplify distress. The interpretation of illness meanings can also contribute to the provision of more effective care. Through those interpretations the frustrating consequences of disability can be reduced. This key clinical task may even liberate sufferers and practitioners from the oppressive iron cage imposed by a too intensely morbid preoccupation with painful bodily processes and a too technically narrow and therefore dehumanizing vision of treatment, respectively. In chapter 15, I will set out a practical clinical method that practitioners can (and should) apply to provide

more effective and humane care of chronically sick persons. This alternative therapeutic approach originates in the reconceptualization of medical care as (1) empathic witnessing of the existential experience of suffering and (2) practical coping with the major psychosocial crises that constitute the menacing chronicity of that experience. The work of the practitioner includes the sensitive solicitation of the patient's and the family's stories of the illness, the assembling of a mini-ethnography of the changing contexts of chronicity, informed negotiation with alternative lay perspectives on care, and what amounts to a brief medical psychotherapy for the multiple, ongoing threats and losses that make chronic illness so profoundly disruptive.

Not the least of the reasons for studying illness meanings, therefore, is that such an investigation can help the patient, the family, and also the practitioner: certainly not every time, perhaps not even routinely, but often enough to make a significant difference.

Symptom as Meaning

tion of what sickness is and what is meant when a person expresses once a day is normal—and these contribute to our shared appreciais a sign of health, to be thin is better than to be fat, a firm stoo heated be cautious of drinking something very cold, suntanned skin sense knowledge—a lump in the breast could be cancer, when overthere. That is to say, we take for granted local forms of common projected onto the world, then called natural because they are found in a local cultural system, inasmuch as the groups' categories are social groups. The meanings of symptoms are standardized "truths" particular cultures and not infrequently diverges among different "natural." But what is natural depends on shared understandings in tress. There is a tendency to regard such self-evident significance as example, back pain, palpitations, or wheezing) as disability or dis-This is the ostensive, conventional signification of the symptom (for ately enough, is the surface denotation of symptom qua symptom The first kind of illness meaning that we shall consider, appropri-

the sickness experience through established patterns of gestures facial expressions, and sounds or words.

sufferer and family that no eavesdropper could interpret. We differ of chronic headaches, key words take on special significance to the shame, and so forth. ence the behavior of others in the desire to receive support, to keep rhetorical deployment of these potentially powerful words to influtional illness idioms and special terms. Some are more skillful in the as individuals in how effective we are in the use of these convenshades and colors the bald term "headache." In the lifetime course sion headache," "I feel a fullness and heavy feeling in my temples," ence in the way the members of the sick person's circle respond to subtle. In each culture and historical period there are different ways others at a distance, to obtain time alone, to convey anger, to concea dizzy, as if a veil were passing before my eyes." Each expression sinuses ache," "My scalp is tingling," "When I move my head I feel "My head is pounding," "I'm having a migraine," "It's only a ten-North American society: "My head hurts," "My head really hurts," him or her. Think of the many ways to complain of headache in to talk about, say, headaches. And these differences make a differby those around us. Yet even surface significances can be fairly "It feels like a ring of pain is constricting my forehead," "My As a result, when we talk of pain, for example, we are understood

Implicit in the first-level meaning of symptoms are accepted forms of knowledge about the body, the self, and their relationship to each other and to the more intimate aspects of our life worlds. For members of Western societies the body is a discrete entity, a thing, an "it," machinelike and objective, separate from thought and emotion. For members of many non-Western societies, the body is an open system linking social relations to the self, a vital balance between interrelated elements in a holistic cosmos. Emotion and cognition are integrated into bodily processes. The body-self is not a secularized private domain of the individual person but an organic part of a sacred, sociocentric world, a communication system involving exchanges with others (including the divine).

For example, among traditionally oriented Chinese, the body is regarded as a microcosm in symbolic resonance with the social and even planetary macrocosm (Porkert 1974). The body's *qi* (vital en-

در) اسا

The Meaning of Symptoms and Disorders

of illness are based on this integrated, dialectical vision. constituents, which in turn correlate closely with the weather, the opposition and also are in interaction with yin/yang constituents of time, the physical setting, and the sociopolitical order. Conceptions the group and nature. Emotion correlates intimately with bodily ment. Yin/yang constituents of the body-self are in complementary ergy) is thought to be in harmony with that flowing in the environ-

nized around a systematic categorization of the world in terms of caste gets incorporated into the body and pollutes it from within struating mother because menstrual blood can enter the porous purity and pollution. A child is polluted by the touch of a menmediated by diet and a hierarchy of social relations tightly orgaamong the body's humors and the constituents of the outer world body (Shweder 1985), just as food received from someone in a lower symbols in social interactions (Daniel 1984). Health is a balance The body is also permeable to supernatural and mystical forces In India the body-self is held to be permeable to substances and

self, and world was found in ancient Western society. are quite different, a similarly integrative, dialectical view of body cratic medical texts suggests that, although some of the conceptions in social relationships and in the cultural ethos. Reading the Hippocomplaints are also moral problems: they are icons of disharmonies schuld 1985) and many other societies. In these cultures bodily ner 1979; Witherspoon 1975). Body symbolizes landscape, and landscape body. A similar idea is found among the Chinese (Unharmony with the physical landscape of the Navaho world (Sand Among the Navaho, the body is in perfect aesthetic and moral

seted female body in an earlier era in Europe constituted as much Social experience is embodied in the way we feel and experience ou bodily states and appear to others (Turner 1985). The tightly corritual scarification; the person receives a skin name that identifies aboriginals a person's totem is embroidered into the skin through transitions and group and personal identity. Among Australian clitoridectomy, amputation of finger joints, scarification) mark life circumcision and other forms of mutilation (subincision, tatooing experiences, sometimes literally so, as, for example, when ritua his social group and personal status (Warner 1958; Munn 1973) Meaning of a social kind is stamped into bodily processes and

> we feel, how we perceive mundane bodily processes, and how we and world. These integral aspects of local social systems inform how understand normative conceptions of the body in relation to the self interpret those feelings and processes. izes as corporeal feelings and physiological needs. To understand values social control is internalized and political ideology materialand expectations of the group. Indeed, through these embodied how symptoms and illnesses have meaning, therefore, we first must orients the person to body and self experiences and to the priorities commercialized symbolic meanings, which, like all cultural systems dampness, sinister motives, and a host of other negative oppositions of the body—which also frequently symbolizes pollution, darkness, body shapes and gestures is part of a diffused capitalist system of blemished skin surface, deodorized, youthful bodies, sexualized ham 1973). The great concern in North American culture with unmuch as social categories with the moral meaning of gender (Needto the male (right) side of the body—informs bodily experience as as expressed a particular vision of women and their role in society The association in many societies of femaleness with the left side

situation, or a systematically demoralizing marital relationship. Not exhaustion, chronic inflammation of the cervical spine, or the dischronic medical disease provide the particular biological substrate, distress simultaneously. Where a physiological stress reaction or a infrequently, a bodily idiom will express several of these forms of tress of an acute upper respiratory infection or of worsening diabement. Tension headaches may express a number of states: from discomfort may signal anxiety or angina, pneumonia or bereavetes to the misery that results from job loss, an oppressive work the same channels used to communicate troubles of any kind. Chest label and communicate dysfunction. The idioms we learn are often forth). And these styles of normal activities influence illness idioms ing, laughing, crying, and performing routine bodily functions cluding states of illness. There are distinctive styles of eating, washidioms (verbal and nonverbal) to communicate bodily states, inhumans learn methods to monitor bodily processes and rhetorical (Nichter 1982). We learn how to identify and react to pain, how to (spitting, coughing, urinating, defecating, menstruating, and so We do not discover our bodies and inner worlds de novo. All

there is a specific channel of established complaints (including weakness, shortness of breath, chest discomfort, and abdominal pain) that can be amplified to express distress of various kinds. Hence, at the very core of complaints is a tight integration between physiological, psychological, and social meanings (Kleinman 1986).

what for whom in order that in the future who will do what for what for whom in return for who has done (or should have done) (Briggs 1970; Schieffelin 1985). This system defines who shall do that is the central structural principle of each of these societies in the system of balanced reciprocity among members of the group and the Kaluli of the New Guinea highlands—illness is expressed In small-scale, preliterate societies—for example, the Inuit of Alaska agement team responsible for the patient's treatment (Janzen 1978) ship or friendship ties bring them together into a lay therapy manindigenous medicines may be shared among individuals whose kinis adjusted to right putative humoral imbalances. Special foods and strated in the pattern of food sharing and diet (Nichter 1982). Diet well as many other societies, illness behavior and care are demonher to warn him not to come too near (Shweder 1985). In India as even when he is ill, may prevent her from touching him and cause Brahmin mother who is menstruating, the fear of polluting her son, from whom one accepts food and medicine. For the traditional pollution, which determines to whom one shows symptoms and of that society's core hierarchical relationship between purity and ties in India, for example, illness is expressed in the special tropes others they may be embodied as stoical silence. In some communitures illness idioms may be more gregarious and mundane, and in ashes and dirt, refusing food, and remaining isolated. In some culshows the intensity of the felt experience by covering the body with indicated by the sick person's dramatic withdrawal (Lewis 1975). He meaning. Among New Guinea natives in the Sepik region, illness is bodily processes and cultural categories, between experience and Illness idioms crystallize out of the dynamic dialectic between

In North American society we, too, possess these conventionalized understandings of the body, these customary configurations of self and symptoms. But given the marked pluralism of North American life styles; ethnic and religious backgrounds; and educa-

between popular cultural meanings that are shared and those that are restricted to particular subgroups. As a result, it is more sensible to speak of local systems of knowledge and relationships that inform how we regard symptoms; these may differ substantially from each other. Within these local systems shared meanings will be negotiated among individuals of unequal power who attempt to persuade others of the intensity of their distress and of the need for access to more resources. Members of such local systems may seek to deny the implications of an obvious abnormality, or they may try to enlist significant others in the quest for care. Obviously, individuals differ in their rhetorical skills in deploying idioms of distress (Beeman 1985).

Lay understandings of illness influence verbal as well as nonverbal communication. There may well be enough universality in facial expressions, body movements, and vocalizations of distress for members of other communities to know that we are experiencing some kind of trouble (Ekman 1980). But there are subtleties as well that indicate our past experiences, chief current concerns, and practical ways of coping with the problem. These particularities are so much a part of local assumptions that they are opaque for those to whom our shared life ways are foreign. Moreover, these distinctive idioms feed back to influence the experience of distress (Good 1977; Kleinman and Kleinman 1985; Rosaldo 1980).

I hear you say your headache is a migraine, or a tension headache owing to too much "stress," or that it is "beastly," "awful," "pounding," "throbbing," "boring," "aching," "exploding," "blinding," "depressing," "killing," and I interpret something of that experience and how you feel and want me to feel about it. (You also interpret your own language of complaining and my response to you, which will affect your symptoms.) It is a testament to the subtlety of culture that we share such a wide array of understandings of surface meanings of symptom terms. (Nigerian psychiatric patients, for example, frequently complain of a feeling like ants are crawling in their heads, a complaint that is specific to their culture [Ebigbo 1982].) I may no longer explicitly understand the Galenic system of hot and cold bodily states and the humoral balance and imbalance it connotes in Western folk culture, but I get your point

that you have a "cold" and therefore want something "hot" to drink and feel the need to dress warmly to protect your "cold" from "the cold." Our understanding is based on a grand cultural convention that would make "feed a cold, starve a fever" incomprehensible to someone without this shared local knowledge (Helman 1978).

stoical, hysterical, hypochondriacal, manipulative? Understanding symptoms is embedded in the meanings and relationships that orgaof your complaints has become a part of the language of our relaof our daily interactions in times of sickness. Indeed, the language it includes as well the pattern of response and situation that has sponded to you in the past (and you to me), along with our mutual enough to make full sense of your experience. Are you generally your "head is splitting," because I feel I do not know you well signification. I am not entirely sure what you mean when you say phoric system available for many kinds of communication. our selves. This makes of even superficial symptoms a rich metanize our day-to-day world, including how in interaction we recreate tionship. Hence, even the superficial significance of symptoms qua tation of your communication of distress is organized by the pattern already been established over hundreds of complaints. My interpreunderstanding of the current situation; in the case of chronic illness, headache. That relationship includes a history of how I have retionship we have will inform how I respond to your complaint of who you are influences how I interpret your complaints. The rela-Yet there is obviously also great uncertainty at this outer level of

A corollary to the meaning of symptoms is the semiotics of diagnosis. For the practitioner, the patient's complaints (symptoms of illness) must be translated into the signs of disease. (For example, the patient's chest pain becomes angina—a sign of coronary artery disease—for the physician.) Diagnosis is a thoroughly semiotic activity: an analysis of one symbol system followed by its translation into another. Complaints are also interpreted as syndromes—clusters of symptoms which run together over time—that indicate through their relationship a discrete disorder. Clinicians sleuth for pathognomonic signs—the observable, telltale clues to secret pathology—that establish a specific disease. This interpretive bias to clinical diagnosis means that the patient—physician interaction is organized as an interrogation (Mishler 1985). What is important is

the anamnesis (the account the physician assembles from the papercent of diagnoses in primary care result from the history alone, not what the patient thinks but what he or she says. Since 80 clues to disease, evidence of a "natural" process, a physical entity Hammett's Sam Spade, who are led to believe that symptoms are self-reflective interpreters of distinctive systems of meaning. They cum diagnostician. Practitioners, however, are not trained to be plaints becomes the text that is to be decoded by the practitioner tient's story) is crucial (see Hampton et al. 1975). That tale of comprofession. physical science than with the nervous skepticism of the medical this is a way of thinking that fits better with the secure wisdom of that constrain experience as much as does disordered physiology; processes are known only through socially constructed categories to be discovered or uncovered. They are rarely taught that biological are turned out of medical schools as naive realists, like Dashiell

suspicion patients' illness narratives and causal beliefs. The form of illness messy and threatening. They have been taught to regard with entities, with natural histories and precise outcomes, find chronic until it can be quantified and therefore rendered more "objective," nostician, which is not to credit the patient's subjective account the content may lead them astray. The way of the specialist diagthose narratives and explanations may indicate a morbid process, it is the very stuff of care, "a symbol that stands for itself" (Wagner the care giver of the chronically ill who would be an effective healer, the chronically ill become problem patients in care, and they recipcan make a shambles of the care of the chronically ill. Predictably the regularity and consistency and sheer perseverance that chronicof the chronically ill, but one that is particularly difficult to do with that experience, auditing it empathically—is a key task in the care 1986). Legitimating the patient's illness experience—authorizing whom it obscures the traces of morbid physiological change; yet for Illness experience is not legitimated by the biomedical specialist, for rocally experience their care as a problem in the health care system. ings which are embodied in lived experience and which can be course of illness is the interpretation of a changing system of meanity necessitates. The interpretation of symptoms in the longitudina The upshot is that practitioners, trained to think of "real" disease

understood through the acquisition of what amounts to an ethnographic appreciation of their context of relationships, the nature of their referents, and the history of how they are experienced.

Cultural Significance as Meaning

Illness has meaning in a second sense, insofar as particular symptoms and disorders are marked with cultural salience in different epochs and societies. These special symptoms and illness categories bring particularly powerful cultural significance with them, so to speak, often of a stigmatizing kind. Few North Americans have ever seen or heard of a case of leprosy, yet so fearsome is the mythology surrounding this category of disorder in the collective consciousness of the West that equally few would be likely to react without abhorrence or terrible fright if told that they or a close acquaintance were suffering from leprosy. No wonder the horrific name of this illness has been changed to the innocuous "Hansen's disease."

afflicted. The disappearance of plague epidemics must have conof the culturally salient mark certain illnesses impress on the insanity in the West-showed can substantially change the nature mation of meaning that Foucault (1966)—using the example of any significance today is an illustration of the process of transforthe gravest dangers to society. That the word plague radiates hardly quarantine and made the inhabitants doomed outcasts who posed illness label placed home and neighborhood under the isolation of held for the afflicted and their families. The application of this whelmed by the immensely powerful practical meaning the term religious meaning the Black Death had for a community was overimmortal soul (Bynum 1985; Gottfried 1983). Whatever particular tributed powerfully to this transformation. terror. It came to signify several things: the wrath of God, man's depopulated the European continent by an astounding three fallen state of sin and suffering, and death as transcendence of the fourths. In so doing, the Black Death became a symbol of evil and In the late Middle Ages, the Black Death (bubonic plague

In the Gilded Age of late nineteenth-century America, the vaporous paralyses of hysteria, neurasthenic weakness, and neurotic angst due to crises of personal confidence over career and family responsibilities were specially salient disorders regarded as products of the age. They spoke of a widespread middle-class malaise associated with the very rapid pace of social change that was transforming a North American society anchored in eighteenth-century ideals and rural or small town life styles into the twentieth-century culture of industrial capitalism (Drinka 1984). There was great condividuals, usually bourgeois and upper-class men and women, whose symptoms were viewed ambivalently as the price that members of society had to pay for their world to become fully modern.

cence in a world ruled by a stern but just God. In twentieth-century envy, and with explaining the presence of misfortune and malefiity. It represented an obsession with the control of jealousy and craft. Accusations of witchcraft in the early New England Furitan unjust suffering and untimely death. that were random and unpredictable, like witchcraft itself; it ofwitchcraft became a major explanatory model of malignant illnesses and village unity (Turner 1967; Janzen 1978). In both societies ting, witchcraft also conveys fears regarding threats to procreativity emphasis is on human rather than Satanic evil. In the African setwith the sources of jealousy, envy, and misfortune, though here the tribal societies in Africa, witchcraft symbolizes a similar concern threats of deviance, egocentricity, antisocial behavior, and sexualworld congealed many of the core fears of the time, including fered, furthermore, a magical means to exert control over seemingly Let us take another example of culturally marked illness: witch-

In Chinese society over the millenia, severe mental illness—labeled insanity, fenghing—held particular salience (Lin and Lin 1982). Even today insanity places stigma not just on the sick person but on the entire family. A marriage go-between traditionally asked if insanity was present among members of the family; if it was, she ruled the family out as a suitable source of spouses—a catastrophe in the family-centered Chinese social system. Families of schizophrenic and manic-depressive patients in present-day China and Taiwan, and even among the traditionally oriented Chinese in the

sickness communicates identical meanings. many instances when the social iconography of neurasthenia as crystallizes certain meanings unique to each society, there are also Europe. For although this quintessential biopsychosocial problem late nineteenth and early twentieth century North America and nese example offers a remarkable comparison with neurasthenia in in chapter 6 to illustrate the cultural meanings of illness. The Chi the neurasthenia cases from our research in China will be described crises that have given rise to demoralization and alienation. One of tacit problems as well, especially serious political, work, and family Kleinman 1985) which disclosed that neurasthenia conveys other conducted research in China (Kleinman 1982, 1986; Kleinman and illegitimate and unacceptable. In 1980 and 1983 my wife and ease label as a cloak to disguise psychiatric problems that remain non-Western societies; the term provides a legitimate physical disish in China in the 1980s, long after its vogue in the West and other threatening that the euphemism "neurasthenia" continues to flour from home. The diagnosis of mental illness among Chinese is so listic culture that the patient remain institutionalized or live apart effects of stigma. It is often preferable to the members of this fami-United States, still experience such great shame and other negative

cannot provide. Cancer is also freighted with meanings of the risks need to make moral sense of "Why me?" that scientific explanations our world. Perhaps most fundamentally, cancer symbolizes our death. Cancer points up our failure to explain and master much in cer forces us to confront our lack of control over our own or others? and injustice—value questions, all—in the human condition. Canminder of the obdurate grain of unpredictability and uncertainty questions concerned with moral ends. Cancer is an unsettling remanageable by technological means rather than into open-ended society. The specific values I have in mind include the transformation of chaotic human problems into closed-ended practical issues rect threat to major values of late twentieth-century American ingly randomly occurring, largely uncontrollable problem—is a diciency syndrome (AIDS). The first-still a highly malignant, seemthe new venereal epidemics—herpes and acquired immune defithe most powerful symbolic loading are cancer, heart disease, and Perhaps the disorders of our own period in the West that carry

of invisible pollutants, such as ionizing radiation and even the chemical constituents of the very foods we eat. These menacing meanings meld ancient fears of contamination with the great modern threat of man-made catastrophes that poison the environment with toxic wastes. They disclose our inability to control the effects of technology. The popular view of anticancer drugs as poisons extends the imagery of risk from causes to treatments and seems to implicate biomedical technology as part of this danger.

Contrary to earlier assumptions, the more we have learned, the more threatening our environment has become. Heart disease, like cancer, seems to implicate our very way of life: what we choose to eat, what we like to do. It points to the frenetic pace of an economy predicated on ever more rapid technological change and its accompaniment, disordered physiology. It speaks to us of the risks of our personality style (in fact, that narcissistic personality precisely crafted to be most successful in the capitalist system). Heart disease invokes the ubiquitous tension in our lives, the breakdown of intimate social bonds, and the loss both of leisure and of sustained physical activity in our workaday world (Lasch 1979; Helman 1987).

The society-wide response to each problem also tells us much about the value structure of American society. We manage as medical problems the symptoms resulting from the social sources of distress and disease. We blame the victim in the ideology of personal life-style change. We avoid the hard, value-laden questions that underlie public health concern with cigarette smoking, exposure to carcinogens, promiscuous sexual practices, and what is euphemistically called unavoidable stress (what Taussig [1986] calls the "nervous" system of modern society). Both cancer and heart disease intensify our awareness of the dangers of our times and of sponse is meant to obfuscate this vision of sickness as meaning it with narrowly technical questions. Is there a better mirror of what we are about?

Like cancer and heart disease, we can say of genital herpes and AIDS that these disorders bring particular cultural meaning to the person (Brandt 1984). As in the cases of syphilis and gonorrhea before them, herpes and AIDS brand the victim with the painful

not easily removed. applied to a person, spoils radically that individual's identity and is culturally marked illness, a dominant societal symbol that, once homosexuals, and so forth. That exoskeleton is the carapace of image, the stigma of self-earned illness, discrimination against disfiguring treatment with the concomitant loss of body- and selfclude the fear of a lingering and untimely death, the threat of must those of us who are around the patient. These meanings in of powerfully peculiar meanings that the patient must deal with, as means!" Each statement encases the patient in a visible exoskelton ine, that fellow down the street has AIDS. You know what that has herpes and infected her without warning her!" "Can you imagcoronary artery disease and can't work any longer!" "Her boyfriend with the diagnosis: "She's got breast cancer and may die!" "I've got each of these disorders meaning arrives with a vengeance together if archly hypocritical, condemnation of the venereal results. For behalf of individual rights and consumer values and highly moral ist society hides a double standard of both amoral promiscuity on dominant, commercialized sexual imagery of postindustrial capital the same time, the response to these diseases suggests that the (and in the case of the latter, deadly) stigmata of venereal sin. At

on a daily basis, independent of stress or tension. This folk model disease model. The object of therapy is to control the blood pressure take the medicine. Here the illness model is the obverse of the do not feel tense, they deny that they have hypertension and don't are suffering the disorder and they take the medication. When they hypertension. When patients feel "hyper-tense" they believe they physicians to be a major obstacle to the effective management of mens that characterize this disorder. Noncompliance is held by explain the high rate of noncompliance with the medical drug regihypertension as an illness is a North American folk model that helps biomedical usage. Blumhagen shows that the lay interpretation of necessarily high blood pressure, which is what the term denotes in model takes the essence of hypertension to be too much tension, not middle-class, college-educated clinic population in Seattle. The lay search describes the beliefs about hypertension held by a largely tive on hypertension in North America. Blumhagen's (1980) re-Less solemn cultural meaning is exemplified by the lay perspec-

with its important implications for care, appears widespread in North American society in spite of health education campaigns in clinics and in the media. Its persistence is a measure of the staying power of cultural meanings.

must be endured in silence. alized world that pain is an expectable component of living and clashes strikingly with the expectation in much of the nonindustrimany guaranteed freedom from the suffering of pain. This meaning sonal freedom and the pursuit of happiness has come to mean for neurasthenia. Perhaps North American culture's ideology of peringly has usurped the cachet of exhaustion-weakness complaints of suggests that pain has peculiar present-day significance and seemtively. The epidemic of chronic pain complaints in North America associated with military, priestly, and agricultural functions, respecplaints have held special salience in Western society and have been sion of ancient Indo-European society, notes that wounds, count of the relationship of symptoms to the tripartite social divireceive special attention; the same symptoms are highlighted by blindness, and a weakness-exhaustion-debility complex of comman 1986) Benveniste (1945), in an early and still provocative acpatients and physicians in clinical settings in modern China (Klein-Chinese medical texts, "headaches," "dizziness," and "weakness" toms, too, can carry cultural significance. For example, in the ancient It is not just the labels of disorders that are value laden. Symp-

It is not just that certain symptoms are given particular attention in certain cultural and historical settings, but that the meanings of all symptoms, as I have already noted, are dependent on local knowledge about the body and its pathologies. Hence, weakness in local Chinese communities connotes loss of vital energy (qi), a central theme in traditional Chinese ethno-medical theories. Excessive loss of semen, through masturbation or an overly active conjugal sex life, has always generated marked anxiety among Chinese because semen contains jing, or the essence of qi, which in turn is lost when semen is lost. This makes semen loss a potentially life-threatening illness in Chinese medical theory. Because of this set of beliefs, tradition-oriented Chinese adolescents and young adults are particularly fearful of the consequences of nocturnal emissions and other forms of semen loss; their view stands in striking contrast

with that of their counterparts in the present-day West, where it would seem to be positively valued. In South Asia, where Ayurve-dic medical theory holds that both men and women contain semen, leucorrhea carries the same fearful connotation for women. That female semen loss is impossible in biomedical theory illustrates the great semantic gap between illness and disease.

Other culturally particular symptoms described in the anthropological and cross-cultural psychiatric literature include "fright" leading to "soul loss" in Mexico and in various Asian societies, "nerves" in North and South America, fear that the penis is shrinking among Southeast Asians, and startle-related copying and echoing behavior (latah) affecting Malays. There exists a large assortment of so-called culture-bound complaints (see Simons and Hughes

of white, middle-class women in midlife. But women of most other nicity, age, and gender. Menopausal complaints are a preoccupation whole but also in the distinctive life worlds shaped by class, eththat symptoms hold special significance not just in the society as a attraction. Similarly, premenstrual tension is a symptom constellain a society commercially centered on the cult of youth and sexual culture as a marker of the feared transition to old age and asexuality economic reasons. They have entered the popular North American plaints are highlighted by the media and the medical profession for and no conception of this life transition as an illness (Kaufert and cultures pass through the menopause with few serious complaints or suffering, no matter how limited and expectable. Perhaps its of the unwillingness of middle-class Westerners to endure any pain practitioners regard premenstrual syndrome as yet another example commonplace in white, middle-class North America. Non-Western traditional ethnic groups in the United States; but it is increasingly tion unheard of in much of the world and among members of Gilbert 1986; McKinlay and McKinlay 1985). Yet menopausal comcultural significance lies in the strong ambivalence associated with plain of "high blood," "sugar," "fallin' out," "nerves," and other traditional procreative functions and femininity among women in ailments that hold little, if any, significance in the urban Northeast Western society. Rural blacks and poor whites in Appalachia com-It is a sign of the marked pluralism of North American society

and that define this population as much as does their dialect (Nations et al. 1985). Complaints of "soul loss" (sush) among working-class Mexican-Americans in Los Angeles, spirit possession among Puerto Ricans in New York, voodoo among Haitian immigrants in Boston, "airs" (aires) and hot/cold imbalance among working-class Cubans in Miami, and evil eye among recent refugees from Latin America serve a similar function. They mark ethnic, class, and recent immigration statuses. They should signal to health professionals major cultural differences that require sensitive evaluation. All too frequently, however, they stimulate traditional ethnic stereotypes that may exert a mischievous influence on care.

symptoms of chronic conditions will hold as powerful a place in the and epidemic infectious disease are rampant it is unlikely that the the chief source of morbidity and mortality. passed through the epidemiological transition to chronic disorder as local collective consciousness as they do in societies that have Ethiopians than for Bostonians. Where acute disorder, starvation, cance of each of these disorders will hold a meaning different for significance as a cohesive, popular cultural category. The significentury Western literature as signs of tuberculosis have lost their flush, and elegant pallor so well known to readers of nineteenthnow than in the past. Alternatively, the bloody sputum, hectic coughing and wheezing among smokers is much better appreciated is no longer limited to wealthier and better educated women in North America, and the potential physiological significance of tinuity over time and place. The meaning of a lump in the breast Culturally salient illness meanings disclose change as well as con-

Baldness and impotence among middle-aged men, acne and short stature among adolescent males, obesity and eating preoccupation (bulimia and anorexia) among adolescent and young adult women, and cosmetic concerns among the elderly are culturally marked conditions that express the narcissistic preoccupation of modern Western society. Agoraphobia (fear of leaving the house) has been said to express through its symptom of houseboundedness the Western woman's ambivalence about the choice between having a working career and being a housewife (Littlewood and Lipsedge 1987). At present, the dementia of Alzheimer's disease captures popular attention in North America as an unacceptable index of the

final assault of aging on the autonomy of the person. Relabeling alcoholism as an illness and child abuse as a symptom of family pathology are further examples of the widespread process of medicalization in Western societies, whereby problems previously labeled and managed as moral, religious, or criminal are redefined as disorder and dealt with through therapeutic technology. These problems open a window on Western society, showing its chief cultural concerns and conflicts.

To recapitulate our main argument, cultural meanings mark the sick person, stamping him or her with significance often unwanted and neither easily warded off nor coped with. The mark may be either stigma or social death. Whichever, the meaning is inescapable, although it may be ambiguous and although its consequences can be significantly modified by the affected person's place in the local cultural system. People vary in the resources available to them to resist or rework the cultural meanings of illness. Those meanings present a problem to patient, family, and practitioner every bit as difficult as the lesion itself.

A final aspect of this type of illness meaning deserves mention. The cultural meanings of illness shape suffering as a distinctive moral or spiritual form of distress. Whether suffering is cast as the ritual enactment of despair, as paradigmatic moral exemplars of how pain and loss should be borne (as in the case of Job), or as the ultimately existential human dilemma of being alone in a meaningless world, local cultural systems provide both the theoretical framework of myth and the established script for ritual behavior that transform an individual's affliction into a sanctioned symbolic form for the group.

The German phenomenologist Plessner (1970) makes the cultural point about suffering this way. Illness in modern Europe or the United States, he avows, brings the sick person to the recognition of a fundamental aspect of the divided nature of the human condition in the West: namely, that each of us is his or her body and has (experiences) a body. In this formulation, the sick person is the sick body and also recognizes that he or she has a sick body that is distinct from self and that the person observes as if it were someone else. As a result, the sick both are their illness and are distanced, even alienated, from the illness. T. S. Eliot may have had this in

around the globe. most strongly influenced by Western values, universal in illness division of experience and meaning will become, at least for those world as a psychological component of modernization, perhaps the omy has throughout this century been exported to the rest of the kind. Inasmuch as the Western experience of the body-self dichotself is mediated by cultural symbols of a religious, moral, or spiritual as suffering because of the way this relationship between body and or as a source of embarrassment or grief. Illness takes on meaning ple, as an alienated part of body-self, as a vehicle for transcendence, fore meaning-laden) experience as human phenomenon—for examiment of sickness as physiological process and its mediated (theremight say that culture fills the space between the immediate embodhow each of us relates to that experience as an observing self. We rocal relationship between the actual experience qua experience and tributes to our experience of suffering precisely through this recip-Rycroft 1986, 284) The modern Western cultural orientation conmind when he spoke of the "dissociation of sensibility" (cited in

experience of life crises anchor anxieties in established social instia religious perspective to make sense of and seek to transcend mistraditional societies, shared moral and religious perspectives on the fortune, or, increasingly, a medical one to cope with our distress. In to explain and control disturbing ethical aspects of our troubles, or perspective on our experience. We may take up a moral perspective then in a transitional situation in which we must adopt some other common-sensical perspective on the world (Keyes 1985). We are serious illness, substantial disability-we are shocked out of our death of a child or parent or spouse, the loss of a job or home, unequal distribution of available resources or the unpredictability up with the resistance offered by profound life experience—the and uncontrollability of life problems, for example. When we meet of conceiving (and thereby replicating) social reality. We create, not ing practical resistances in the real world, obstacles owing to the just discover, meaning in experiences through the process of meetperspective comes from a local cultural system as the accepted way by taking up a common-sense perspective on daily life events. The (1968) we can view the individual in society as acting in the world Let us restate the issue in sociological terms. Following Schutz

lems in place of meaningful moral (or spiritual) response to illness cal fix. They arrange for therapeutic manipulation of disease probsuffering as a problem of mechanical breakdown requiring a technisions that work within it, as we have seen, are oriented to treat Instead, the modern medical bureaucracy and the helping profesand evil, which appear to be intrinsic to the human condition components of suffering relating to problems of bafflement, order there is no teleological perspective on illness that can address the in contemporary biomedicine and the other helping professions tive, however, doesn't help us to deal with the problem of suffering swer to our predicaments. Taking on a medical or scientific perspeccultural authority of the health professions and science for an anworld to medicalize such problems and therewith to turn to the terpret misfortune, there is a definite tendency in the contemporary 1985). Lacking generally agreed-upon authorization for how to incance that guided our ancestors on how to suffer (see Obeyesekere cratic meaning to supplant the shared moral and religious signififree floating and requires personal processes of creating idiosynthe fragmented, pluralistic modern world, anxiety increasingly is

sounds of bodily pain and psychiatric symptoms, the complex inner suffering. These methods enable us to grasp, behind the simple search methods to create knowledge about the personal world of biography, history, psychotherapy—these are the appropriate reobtaining valid information from illness narratives. Ethnography ized interview; it can only emerge from an entirely different way of a dangerous distortion. But to evaluate suffering requires more than the addition of a few questions to a self-report form or a standard cally invalid; it has statistical, not epistemological, significance; it is emerge from such research is scientifically replicable but ontologichecklists quantify functional impairment and disability, rendering thinned-out image of patients and families that perforce must quality of life fungible. Yet about suffering they are silent. The illness. Symptom scales and survey questionnaires and behavioral human dimension of patients' and families' stories of experiencing to describe suffering, no routine way of recording this most thickly Clinical and behavioral science research also possess no category

living an illness. The authenticity of the quest for such human knowledge makes us stand in awe because of some resonant sensibility deep within. What is the metric in biomedical and behavioral standing, can the professional knowledge that medical science creates be at all adequate for the needs of patients, their families, and the practitioner?

ate, not aid, the family. But what is the alternative? A narrowly particularistic moral or religious perspective may alienthan they resolve. The practitioner's values may not be the patient's. phasized. For these can and frequently do create even more conflicts tient-doctor relationship to fill a moral lacuna cannot be overemspective. The difficulties of importing value systems into the paa common-sensical moral view or a more particular religious permatic models—or by joining their patients through adapting either include other models—such as the biopsychosocial or psychosogroup bafflement by broadening their professional framework to transcend the limits of biomedicine so as to respond to personal and illness (as opposed to disease). Clinicians struggle, therefore, to model eschews this aspect of suffering much as it turns its back on their circle to the problem of bafflement, the narrow biomedical tures, like religious and moral perspectives, orient sick persons and and control). Whereas virtually all healing perspectives across cultion of bafflement), and What can be done? (the question of order tions for the sick person and the social group: Why me? (the ques-The problem of illness as suffering raises two fundamental ques-

Consider a situation in which a moral or religious view is shared, forming the basis for the group's response to suffering. The value orientations of Buddhism and medieval Christian theology make of suffering not a wholly disvalued experience to be managed or negotiated, but an occasion for the work of cultural processes to trannoted, when the Black Death depopulated the continent to an unquestion of meaning and the question of suffering, articulated as both the crisis for society. Society responded by reasserting the core religious and moral meanings that were threatened by the highly malignant

misinterpretations of the scientific discourse on risk is the tendency attention to their deeper significance. Indeed, one reason for lay manipulations aimed at controlling practical problems, with scan etal response is almost entirely limited to rational-technical made catastrophe raises similar questions of suffering; yet the socicontrols available at the time. In our own time, the threat of man epidemic, as well as by applying those few social and technica

The Personal and Social Meanings of Illness

and insinuate, and may indeed mean the opposite of what they Unscientific utterances can, and indeed usually do, have double apparently mean, especially if they are said in a certain tone of meanings, implied meanings, unintended meanings, and can hint

-CHARLES RYCROFT (1986, 272)

of illness, a core tension in clinical care

put aside by biomedical science; it remains central to the experience meaning and value from the equation of care. Suffering is not easily the fore in spite of professional (and societal) attempts to expunge questions of the cultural significance of risk as bafflement come to the random distribution of risks in the population. That is to say random) terms, the scientists' quantitative, bell-shaped curves of of laymen to reinterpret, in qualitative, absolute, personalized (non

the context of a set of narrative histories, histories both of the individuals concerned and of the settings in which they act and is doing we always move towards placing a particular episode in In successfully identifying and understanding what someone else

—Alastair MacIntyre (1981, 197)

Life World as Meaning

cultural meanings of illness that carry significance to the sick person, and social significance from the world of the sick person. Unlike concrete life world. Acting like a sponge, illness soaks up personal standing chronic illness that I will spend much of the rest of this the person's life to the illness experience. this third, intimate type of meaning transfers vital significance from book elaborating and illustrating it and expanding on its therapeutic becomes embodied in a particular life trajectory, environed in a implications. For in the context of chronic disorder, the illness Illness has meaning in a third sense, a sense so central to under-